21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Judge Thomas S. Zilly

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES, in its own right and on behalf of the Lummi Nation,

NO. C01-0047Z

Plaintiff,

LUMMI NATION,

Plaintiff-Intervenor,

v.

STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY et al.

Defendants.

FEDERAL WATER MASTER'S REPORT TO THE COURT ON RECOMMENDATIONS FOR UPDATING THE SERVICE LIST

Under the provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53, JOHN E. THORSON, the Federal Water Master appointed by the Court in this case,¹ submits his report and proposed order for amending the original Order and Judgment in this case. This report and proposed Order result from a proceeding (No. WM-03, *Updating Service List*) conducted before the Water Master to study and develop recommendations for improving the

¹ Order Appointing Water Master (June 10, 2008). WATER MASTER REPORT P. NO. C01-0047Z

accuracy of party and water user contact information for the Lummi Decree, *i.e.*, the final Order and Judgment entered in *United States v. State of Washington*, No. C01-0047Z. Accurate service list information is necessary for the ongoing implementation and enforcement of the Lummi Decree. The Water Master recommends certain annual procedures conducted in cooperation with the Washington Department of Ecology to (a) identify apparent changes in party and water user contact information; (b) propose changes to the Court with opportunities for parties to object and respond; and (c) culminate in a Court order approving the updates to the service list.

BACKGROUND

As with all human affairs, people have moved and property has changed hands on the Lummi peninsula since the Lummi Decree was entered on November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 1273). In his 2009-2010 Annual Report (filed June 30, 2010), the Federal Water Master indicated:

Since the Settlement Agreement was negotiated and approved by the Court in November 2007, the accuracy of party and water user information has degraded. Parties on the Court's service list for this proceeding have moved, died or transferred their property without in some instances notifying the Clerk of the Court of these changes. Several lawyers who represented multiple clients during active litigation in this case have withdrawn as attorneys of record and substituted their clients. Some of this client information may not be accurate or current. The Department of Ecology maintains its own list of landowners who are eligible to receive water under the state's settlement allocation, and this information changes for many of the same reasons. Additionally, the Department of Ecology's information does not in all instances conform with the Court's service list.

. . . .

[T]he Master intends to convene one or more meetings with interested parties and any necessary personnel from the Clerk's office and the Department of Ecology to: (1) learn whether the apparent problem about currency of the mailing list, as outlined above, is accurate; (2) investigate and document the extent of the problem; (3) identify and implement any improvements, not needing Court approval, that can be undertaken individually or collectively by the Master, Clerk's office, and

WATER MASTER REPORT No. C01-0047Z

Department of Ecology; [and] (4) identify and propose improvements where Court approval would be necessary to implement an improvement.

The Master reiterated these concerns in his 2010-11 Annual Report filed June 30, 2011, and indicated he was commencing a specialized proceeding before him to address these issues. For administrative and record-keeping convenience, this study was designated Water Master (WM) Proceeding No. 11-03, *Updating Service List*.

PROCEEDINGS TO DATE

When the Water Master served his 2010-11 Annual Report on the existing service list for the Lummi Decree, he included a separate written notice to all persons on the service list announcing the commencement of WM No. 11-03. Federal Water Master's Notice of Water Master Proceeding No. WM 11-03 (*Updating Service List*) (June 30, 2011). The notice described the purpose of the proceeding and set forth the deadline (July 29, 2011) and procedure for interested parties to notify the Master and become involved in the proceeding.

As of July 29, 2011, four parties had notified the Master of their desire to participate in WM 11-03: The United States of America, the Washington Department of Ecology, Lesli Higginson, and James E. LeSage. These parties were notified of all subsequent proceedings in WM 11-03, and the United States and Department of Ecology participated actively. These proceedings have included the following:

September 27, 2011—Scoping conference call with parties to identify problems and issues, share information about the existing condition and maintenance of the service list, and determine a schedule for the proceeding. The conference call was recorded and summarized in a minute entry.

28

December 6, 2011—Master circulated a minute entry to WM 11-03 parties setting forth a proposal for annually updating the service list. Parties were requested to submit comments on the proposal by December 31, 2011; by that date, the United States and the Department of Ecology had done so.

May 1, 2012—Master circulated a draft Report to the Court to the WM 11-03 parties asking for final comment by May 11, 2012, before filing with the Court. The draft Report set forth the detailed recommendations to better maintain the Lummi Decree service list. The Master incorporated suggestions offered by the parties.

In addition to the preceding events, the Master talked with Ms. Consuelo Ledesma, U.S. District Court Docketing Clerk for the Lummi case, as to how she has maintained the service list for No. C01-0047Z since commencement. With the consent of the WM 11-03 parties, the Master also requested and received a written communication (dated December 8, 2011, and filed in WM 11-03) from Ms. Lynn Torno, paralegal in the law firm of Raas, Johnsen & Stuen, PS, attorneys for the Lummi Nation, as to how she has kept track of Lummi case parties and water users over the years.

The foregoing events have culminated in the findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations set forth in this Report to the Court.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. No *lis pendens* was filed at the commencement of No. C01-0047Z.
- 2. The initial service list for the case was prepared by the Clerk of the Court based on formal appearances in the litigation.
- 3. During active litigation in the Lummi case, a database of all land parcels in the case area was created. A paralegal in the law firm representing the Lummi Nation

would on a regular basis compare the database to online county property records and notify the Docketing Clerk in the Office of the Clerk of the Court of any changes in ownership involving a person who had appeared in the case.

- 4. The Court entered its Order and Judgment on November 20, 2007, approving the Settlement Agreement negotiated and presented by certain of the parties.
- 5. The Order and Judgment indicates that the requirements of the Order and Judgment, as well as of the approved Settlement Agreement, extend to "[a]ll parties, all persons, and all entities . . . and all of their heirs, successors, and assigns . . . ," \P 1, and that Court retains continuing jurisdiction in the case, \P 11.
- 6. The Court has a specific local rule, Civil Rule (CR) 10(f), stating the obligation of attorneys and unrepresented parties to notify the Clerk of changes in contact information. This rule specifies:

(f) Name and Address of Parties and Attorneys

Any attorney representing any party or any party not represented by an attorney must file a notice with the court of any change in address, telephone number or email address. Such notice must be received by the Clerk's Office within ten days of the change. All subsequent pleadings, motions or other filings shall reflect the new address and telephone number. The address and telephone number of the party or his attorney, noted on the first pleadings, motions or other filings or as changed by individual notice, shall be conclusively taken as the last known address and telephone number of said party or attorney.

7. Since the entry of the 2007 Order and Judgment, the service list for this case has consisted of (a) those persons (mostly attorneys) who file and receive pleadings and court communications electronically using the Court's CM/ECF system; and (b) those persons who receive pleadings and court communications by traditional first-class mail.

Approximately twenty-two persons now appear on the first list and approximately 112

persons now appear on the second list. One "person" on the service list may include multiple individuals, *e.g.*, spouses or family members.

- 8. In addition to the records maintained by the Court's electronic filing and docketing system, the Docketing Clerk in the Clerk's Office has maintained the service list for non-CM/ECF participants on a series of paper label pages, usually with three or four names per page. This list is used by the Clerk's office for service of Court-generated documents and relied upon by the Master and other parties when serving their own documents on persons not participating in the Court's electronic filing system.
- 9. The Master used the Clerk's service list for serving his 2008-09, 2009-10, and 2010-11 annual reports. Of a total first-class mailing of approximately 200 persons (almost entirely unrepresented parties), twenty-eight envelopes in 2009, twelve envelopes in 2010, and eight envelopes in 2011 were returned as undeliverable (unless an address correction is obtained, the Master does not mail annual reports to those parties for whom an envelope has been returned in previous years).
- 10. The Department of Ecology maintains a spreadsheet list of Lummi Decree parties and water users based on information from annual well reports submitted by water users, other records maintained by the Department, communications from water users, and county assessor information. Ecology's records do not always correspond with those of the Clerk of the Court.
- 11. Because of the many unrepresented parties in this case, it is probable that not all parties will comply with the Court's local rule, CR 10(f), when changing their address or transferring their property.

- 12. Supplemental court-approved procedures, as recommended in this Report, are necessary to provide a more routine method of identifying changes in contact information and transfers of property subject to the Lummi Decree.
- 13. Accurate service list information is necessary for the ongoing implementation and enforcement of the Lummi Decree. Specifically, service list information is necessary to provide notice when the Court issues orders; the Master issues minute entries, orders or reports; and parties seek assistance from the Court or Master in enforcing the decree against other parties.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Court retains continuing jurisdiction in this case. Order & Judgment \P 11 (Nov. 20, 2007).
- 2. The requirements of the Order and Judgment entered in this case, as well as of the Settlement Agreement approved by the Order and Judgment, extend to "[a]ll parties, all persons, and all entities . . . and all of their heirs, successors, and assigns" Order & Judgment ¶ 1.
- 3. Under the Court's local rule CR 10(f), any attorney representing any party in this case or any party not represented by an attorney are required to file a notice with the Court of any change in address, telephone number or e-mail address. So long as the Court retains continuing jurisdiction in this case, the requirements of local rule CR 10(f) remain applicable.
- 4. The Order and Judgment in this case should be amended to provide additional procedures, as set forth and recommended in this Report, to improve the long-term accuracy of the service list.

RECOMMENDATIONS

After considering information gathered from the interested parties and weighing their perspectives, and based on the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, the Master recommends the following to the Court:

A. REQUIRING PARTIES TO NOTIFY COURT

As previously discussed, the Court already has a specific local rule, CR 10(f), stating the obligation of attorneys and unrepresented parties to notify the Clerk of changes in contact information. Most likely, this rule was designed for cases in active litigation. The Master suggests reiterating that this obligation also extends, in this instance, to post-judgment proceedings where the Court has continuing jurisdiction (*see* Order and Judgment ¶ 11 (Nov. 20, 2007)).

Accordingly, the Master recommends the following addition to paragraph 11 of the 2007 Order and Judgment so that it reads (underlining indicates new text):

Continuing Jurisdiction. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this case to modify this Order and Judgment upon motion of the parties, to appoint a Water Master and to replace the same as might be necessary from time to time, to decide appeals from decisions of the Water Master, and to resolve disputes regarding the annual budget of the Water Master. So long as the Court retains continuing jurisdiction, all parties to this action and their heirs, assigns, and successors in interest shall comply with the Court's local rule requiring attorneys and unrepresented parties to notify the Clerk of any change in address, telephone number or e-mail address (Local Civil Rule (CR) 10(f) and any subsequent revision or restatement of this rule). Parties also shall notify the Clerk of any changes in party or contact information resulting from changes in the ownership of real property subject to the provisions of this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

Parties could also be required to notify the Department of Ecology and the Master of such changes. While such notice would be desirable, it would be a more cumbersome requirement that would likely discourage compliance even with the basic CR 10(f)

requirement. The Master and Ecology can make informal arrangements with the Clerk's office to obtain this information.

B. Annual Procedure for Updating Service List

It is doubtful that all parties will diligently notify the Clerk of party and contact information changes, as CR 10(f) requires. Thus, participants in WM 11-03 discussed the desirability of a more routine and reliable backup procedure. The basic recommendation is that the Clerk's service list be compared on an annual basis with Ecology's spreadsheet information to determine inconsistencies, along with other apparent changes based on returned mail or communications from water users. Using information from Ecology and these other sources, the Master, in his June Annual Report, would propose to the Court the identified updates for names and contact information (both additions and deletions). All persons on the existing service list, as well as those persons proposed for inclusion on the service list, will be served with the Annual Report. If no one objects to the proposed changes within a period of time, the Court would enter an order adopting the proposed changes to the formal service list.

The details of this recommendation are as follows:

- 1. The Master will communicate periodically with:
 - (a) The appropriate staff person in the Bellingham Office of the Washington Department of Ecology as to apparent changes in the service list or the names and addresses of persons entitled to receive water under the State Allotment. The Department of Ecology may obtain this information from annual well reports submitted by water users, other records maintained by the Department, communications from water users, assessor information, and other reasonably reliable sources in the course of the Department's ongoing activities. The Department has no obligation to seek this information outside the course of its ongoing activities.

- (b) The appropriate Docketing Clerk in the Court Clerk's office as to apparent changes in the service list resulting from pleadings, court orders, or other contact information normally relied upon by the Clerk.
- 2. The Master will also record apparent changes to the service list that periodically come to his or her attention through communications with parties and water users; undelivered minute entries, orders, and reports; undelivered Annual Reports; and other reasonably reliable sources.
- 3. Using information obtained as the result of steps 1 and 2, above, the Master annually will prepare a table setting forth apparent party changes in the service list. The table will match existing information (*e.g.*, party names, party addresses) in the service list to the proposed changes. The Master will include this table in the Annual Report to the Court, due on or before June 30 of each year. In the Annual Report, the Master will request the Court to make the necessary updates to the service list. A proposed Court order effectuating the proposed changes will be an attachment to the Annual Report.
- 4. The Annual Report will also specify that any objection to the proposed changes in the existing service list must be filed within 30 days of the filing and service of the Annual Report. The Annual Report will also include a printed form that objectors may use to state and file any objection. A word processing version of the form will also be available for downloading from the Master's website.
- 5. The Annual Report will continue to be served on the existing service list (including those persons using the Court's CM/ECF system and on those other persons who are served by first-class mail) and posted on the Master's website. The Annual Report will also be served by first-class mail on each new party (e.g., new owner of the property) proposed for inclusion in the updated Service List and on each existing party with a new address proposed for inclusion in the updated Service List.
- 6. Any objection to the proposed changes in the Service List must be filed according to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 53(f), concerning Master's reports, and the Court's normal local rules (including the electronic filing rules for attorneys). The time period for objecting to the report, however, is extended to 30 days. Persons filing a paper objection, however, may serve only the Master, Department of Ecology, Lummi Nation, and the United States.
- 7. Pursuant to Rule 53(f), the Court will review any objection and, if necessary, conduct further proceedings (or direct the Master to conduct further proceedings) to resolve any objection. Once objections are resolved, the Court will enter an order making the appropriate changes in the Service List. The Master may assist the Court in the drafting of the order.

- 8. Once the Court enters the order originally recommended by the Master (Step 3) or following proceedings to resolve objections to the recommended order (Step 7), and any appeal concerning the order has been finally resolved, the modified Service List will supersede all previous service lists for Case No. C01-0047Z. Those persons no longer entitled to receive water under the State Allotment will not be included on the Service List, and any obligation to serve them shall cease.
- 9. The foregoing process will be repeated annually.

While the amendment to the November 2007 Order and Judgment does not need to include all the foregoing detail, some basic authorization is necessary. Consequently, the Master suggests the following abbreviated text for the Order and Judgment, which is proposed as new subparagraphs to paragraph 11 of the Order and Judgment (underlining indicates new text):

(a) In the Annual Report required by Section VIII.A.1.c of the Settlement Agreement, the Master will recommend any necessary changes to the service list maintained by the Clerk for this case. In preparing recommended service list changes, the Master will use the procedure described in the Master's June 29, 2012, Report to the Court, Recommendations for Updating the Service List, which has been approved by the Court. Within 30 days of service of an Annual Report recommending service list changes, parties or persons proposed for inclusion in or removal from the service list may file an objection pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 53(f). If the objection is limited to a specific recommended service list change, the objection may be served only on the Master, United States of America, Lummi Nation, and Washington Department of Ecology.

- (b) Pursuant to Rule 53(f), the Court will review any objection and, if necessary, conduct further proceedings (or direct the Master to conduct further proceedings) to resolve any objection. Once objections are resolved, the Court will enter an order making the appropriate changes in the Service List. The Master may assist the Court in the drafting of the order.
- (c) Once the Court enters the order originally recommended by the Master or following proceedings to resolve objections to the Master's recommended order, and any appeal concerning the order has been finally resolved, the modified Service List will supersede all previous service lists for Case No. C01-0047Z. Those persons no longer entitled to receive water under the State Allotment will not be included on the modified Service List, and any obligation to serve them shall cease.

11

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

25

26

27

28

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Recommendations, and other discussion, the Master moves the Court to:

- 1. Amend the Order and Judgment entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 1273), by adding to paragraph 11 the proposed language set forth in Recommendation A, *Requiring Parties to Notify Court, supra*, and also set forth in the proposed order, attached as Attachment A;
- 2. Amend the Order and Judgment entered November 20, 2007 (Docket No. 1273), by adding to paragraph 11 the proposed language set forth in Recommendation B, *Annual Procedure for Updating Service List, supra*, and also set forth in the proposed order, attached as Attachment A; and
- 3. Approve the detailed annual procedure for updating the service list described in steps 1 through 9 as set forth in Recommendation B, *Annual Procedure for Updating Service List, supra.*

NOTICE OF TIME FOR OBJECTION

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 53(f)(2) provides: "A party may file objections to or a motion to adopt or modify—the master's order, report, or recommendations no later than 21 days after a copy is served, unless the court sets a different time."

Respectfully submitted,

DATED June 29, 2012

/s/John E. Thorson

John E. Thorson Federal Water Master Lummi Decree

WATER MASTER REPORT NO. C01-0047Z

PAGE 12 OF 17

JOHN E. THORSON, 376 RIVER RD. WEST, PLAINS, MT 59859

376 River Road West Plains, MT 59859 (406) 826-0500 johnethorson@mac.com

Water Master Report No. C01-0047Z

PAGE 13 OF 17

JOHN E. THORSON, 376 RIVER RD. WEST, PLAINS, MT 59859

ATTACHMENT A: PROPOSED COURT ORDER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

UNITED STATES, in its own right and on behalf of the Lummi Nation,	NO. C01-0047Z
Plaintiff,	
LUMMI NATION,	
Plaintiff-Intervenor,	[PROPOSED] ORDER
v.	
STATE OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY et al.	
Defendants.	

No objection to the Water Master's Report to the Court on Recommendations for Updating the Service List (May __, 2012) (Docket No. ___), having been filed or received within the time specified by Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(f)(2), the Court now enters the following Order:

28 WATER MASTER REPORT

No. C01-0047Z

PAGE 14 OF 17

JOHN E. THORSON, 376 RIVER RD. WEST, PLAINS, MT 59859

The Water Master's Report is approved including the detailed annual procedure for updating the service list described in steps 1 through 9 as set forth in Recommendation B, *Annual Procedure for Updating Service List.*

The Order and Judgment dated November 20, 2007, Docket No. 1273, is hereby AMENDED as follows:

Paragraph 11 of the 2007 Order and Judgment is amended so that it now reads (underlining indicates new text):

Continuing Jurisdiction. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this case to modify this Order and Judgment upon motion of the parties, to appoint a Water Master and to replace the same as might be necessary from time to time, to decide appeals from decisions of the Water Master, and to resolve disputes regarding the annual budget of the Water Master. So long as the Court retains continuing jurisdiction, all parties to this action and their heirs, assigns, and successors in interest shall comply with the Court's local rule requiring attorneys and unrepresented parties to notify the Clerk of any change in address, telephone number or e-mail address (Local Civil Rule (CR) 10(f) and any subsequent revision or restatement of this rule). Parties also shall notify the Clerk of any changes in party or contact information resulting from changes in the ownership of real property subject to the provisions of this Order and Judgment and the Settlement Agreement.

- (a) In the Annual Report required by Section VIII.A.1.c of the Settlement Agreement, the Master will recommend any necessary changes to the service list maintained by the Clerk for this case. In preparing recommended service list changes, the Master will use the procedure described in the Master's June 29, 2012, Report to the Court, Recommendations for Updating the Service List, which has been approved by the Court. Within 30 days of service of an Annual Report recommending service list changes, parties or persons proposed for inclusion in or removal from the service list may file an objection pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 53(f). If the objection is limited to a specific recommended service list change, the objection may be served only on the Master, United States of America, Lummi Nation, and Washington Department of Ecology.
- (b) Pursuant to Rule 53(f), the Court will review any objection and, if necessary, conduct further proceedings (or direct the Master to conduct further proceedings) to resolve any objection. Once objections are resolved, the Court will enter an order making the appropriate changes in the Service List. The Master may assist the Court in the drafting of the order.

DATED this day of July 2012. Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge United States District Judge	1 2 3 4 5	(c) Once the Court enters the order originally recommended by the Master or following proceedings to resolve objections to the Master's recommended order, and any appeal concerning the order has been finally resolved, the modified Service List will supersede all previous service lists for Case No. C01-0047Z. Those persons no longer entitled to receive water under the State Allotment will not be included on the modified Service List, and any obligation to serve them shall cease. IT IS SO ORDERED.
Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge	6	II IS SO ORDERED.
Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge Thomas S. Zilly United States District Judge	7	DATED this day of July 2012.
United States District Judge United States District Judge United States District Judge United States District Judge	8	
10	9	
12	10	Officed States District Judge
13	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	17	
20	18	
21	19	
22 23 24 25 26 27	20	
 23 24 25 26 27 	21	
 24 25 26 27 	22	
25 26 27	23	
25 26 27	24	
27	25	
27	26	
	27	
	28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The Certificate of Service of this Report has been filed separately with the Clerk of the Court. The Notice and Certificate of Service have been published to the published to the Water Master's website: www.lummiwatermaster.com.